## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY CGSA, INC. FOR ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO CONSTRUCT AN ADDITIONAL CELL SITE IN EMINENCE, HENRY COUNTY, KENTUCKY FOR THE PROVISION OF DOMESTIC PUBLIC CELLULAR RADIO TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC IN THE B-1 PORTION OF RURAL SERVICE AREA NO. 7

CASE NO. 95-446

## ORDE\_R

On October 26, 1995, the Commission received the attached letter from Joyce S. Puckett regarding the proposed cellular telecommunications facility to be located at 474 Elm Street, Eminence, Henry County, Kentucky.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. Kentucky CGSA, Inc. shall respond to Ms. Puckett's concerns by certified letter, within 10 days of the date of this Order.
- 2. Kentucky CGSA, Inc. shall file a copy of the certified letter and dated receipt, within 7 days of the date on the receipt.

  Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 2nd day of November, 1995.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:

Executive Director

## JOYCE S. PUCKETT 471 SHADYVIEW DRIVE EMINENCE, KENTUCKY 40019 OCTOBER 23, 1995

Executive Director's Office Public Service Commission of Kentucky P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

IN RE: PUBLIC NOTICE - PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KY CASE NO. 95-446

To Whom It May Concern:

I am in receipt of Sam McNamara's letter of October 9 regarding the proposed construction and operation of a cellular radio telecommunication service. I am writing this letter to state my objections to the proposal to the Public Service Commission. There are a number of reasons why I feel the proposed tower should be placed in a more appropriate area and not at the proposed location.

My property lies at the edge of Eminence, Kentucky, near a densely populated residential area. My farm operates with both crop production and livestock. I have a cow/calf operation. I am particularly concerned that the proposed tower may result in increased electro-magnetic radiation which has been linked to cancer, stillborn calves, and other medical problems in both farm animals and humans. I do not feel it is necessary or appropriate to put the health of the citizens of Eminence at risk, nor do I feel that I should have my cattle exposed to such a risk.

Although I am currently operating agricultural business on my farm, which consists of approximately three hundred and seventy-five (375) acres, there is always the underlying realization that at some point in the future, I may chose to subdivide the acreage and convert its use from agricultural to residential. My acreage is in a prime location for residential use due to it lying at the edge of Eminence near existing residential areas. In light of this, the size, location and unsightly appearance of the proposed 400' tower would greatly reduce the value of my land.

Henry County should have numerous other available cites for the proposed structure which would be more appropriate. I do not feel that my farm animals or my real estate's value, nor the citizens of Eminence should bear the adverse consequences of placing the tower at the proposed site.

Very truly yours,

Jayer S. Puckett

JOYCE S. PUCKETT